馬拉威人都不喝水嗎?從飲水習慣切入馬拉威社區非傳染性疾病病人照護議題以及可能的工具性輔助 Malawians Don't Drink Water? Exploring Water Consumption Habits as a potential factor associated with NCDs Care Issues and Potential Supportive Tools for Patient

實習學生: 黃映潔 指導老師: 吳宗樹 老師 指導單位: University of Livingstonia, Research director Ms. Mary

Motivation

I came up with my research interest after visiting and observing different institutions in Malawi. Originally I want to explore water intake of general population, but later found that this topic can also integrate with Care of NCDs patients Study own by research director of University of Livingstonia (Unilia).



Community development department of LIN

1. No processed drinking

Polio Campaignvisiting rural area

1. Boiling water is not feasible and very dangerous 2. Malawian people seldom drink water

Meeting with Research Director in University of Livingstonia

1 Importance of helpful

Mzuzu Central hospitalpalliative care / medical social worker

Mzuzu Central hospital-

Pediatric burn injury is the

primary cause among

pediatric patients

introduction

Dalliative care provider and

Disability / Complications

1. Physical

2. Intellectual

3. Visual / Hearing

Result		
Characteristics of Samples		
Total Household	25	
Patients	18	-
Guardians	14	-
Gender		
Male	11,	44%
Female	14,	56%
Age		
Range (under 7 excluded)	31	-
Mean age (under 7 excluded)	73	-
Under 7 years old	2,	8 %
Income of household per month		
Own agricultural land (No income)	5,	20%
<10 US dollars	5,	20%
10~50 US dollars	8,	32%
50~200 US dollars	7,	28%



Luke International

Many studies support that drinking sufficient water can lower the risk of several chronic diseases(Martínez García RM, 2022 and etc.), and also help recovery of NCDs. Regardless of age, sex and physical activity, most patients drink much less water than recommended. They don't really take in any extra water from regular diet as well. Reasons:

			Due to complications	By will
)	31	-	1 Physical nain	1 Habit of drinking a
ded)	73	-	2. Inconvenience of toilet	little water only
	2,	8 %		after meal
month			Patients who cannot use	2. Not thirsty
o income)	5,	20%	more likely to drink less	3. Doesn't want to drink more water
	5,	20%	water!	Con be improved
	8,	32%	p-value = 0.02692	by proper advocation
	7,	28%	(Fisher's exact test)	sy proper devocation

15,60%

5, 20%

17, 68%

4, 16%

1, 4 %

4, 16%

2, 8 %

3, 12%

4, 16%

1, 4 %

12, 50%

10, 40%

2, 8 %

2, 8 %

16, 64%

2, 8 %

2, 8 %

9, 36 %

實習單位:

In Malawi

*In the field, we observed that some patients have edema, possibly complication of their diseases. Thus, those cases need to be taken into consideration when advocate drinking more water. Water Quality: Most households have safe water source for usage. 70% of the households have water from government water sector and only 2 households have unprotected water source. However, only 4 out of 25 households treat water before drinking it and 3 of them have piped water into dwelling. Meaning that households treat water or not weren't due to difference in water sources but other reasons. Most households knew that diseases transmit through water and don't have difficulties boiling water. Also, more than half of all households think boiling or adding chlorine to the water can prevent transmission of waterborne diseases. The main reason for not treating drinking water is because most people believe water from government water sector is already treated and safe to drink (even borehole is considered safe drinking water source). However contamination in the pipe and water tank is still possible.



water supplied by school	1. Importance of helpful	Palliative care provider and	NCDs		
2. Possible contamination in	2 Barriers toward toilet can	medical social worker may	Hypertension		
3 Student don't bring water	result in refusing to eat	on specific NCDs or link them	Diabetes mellitus		
from home	and drink	with tools/technology	Cardiovascular diseases		
	3. Dignity of NCDs patients	provider to decrease their	Chronic respiratory diseases		
	4. Serious WASH needs	barrier toward WASH facility	Cancer		
Research Aims			Injuries		
			Sickle cell disease		
Does Malawian people	really drink less water?		Other		
Is barrier toward MASH	facility the cause?		Complications		
IS Damer toward WASH	facility the cause?		Eye damage		
Is there any adverse hea	er toward WASH facility?	Hearing problem			
is there any deverse nee	er cowara w/ orracincy.	Foot problem			
(2) How can the situation	be improved in the rural	context in Malawi?	Nerve problem		
			Infections		
The Vicious Cycle			Depression		
The vicious cycle			Decreased Physical Activity		
Insufficient Plain Water Intake	Pick Eactor of	Non-communicable disease	Body Pain		
1. Differ by age, weight, alternative		1. Susceptible population	Other		
water intake and physical activity 2. Prone to dehydrations	Deteriorating health of patients	Bladder/Colon/Breast	Have at least 2 common symptoms of in past 2 weeks		
		Kidnev stones	Dehydration		
Water Frocessing Water Source	Infections	Gall stones	Waterborne Diseases		
	1. Fecal-oral diseases	Obesity	Infections		
	2. NCDs patient as		Daily Plain Water Intake		
Parriare toward MASH facility			<300ml		

Adverse health outcome

3, 12 % Due to insufficient water intake: 1, 4 %

Almost 80% of patients drink less than 600ml per day, and more than half of them shown at least 1 10, 40% dehydration symptoms. Those who drink more water have a lower rate of developing related symptoms. In addition, 2 patients reported that they didn't defecate for the past 3 weeks, which insufficient water intake may be a possible factor.



Barriers toward WASH facility

Serious WASH needs Guardian/ Caregiver / Community

Methods

Sample site and Recruitment

Our target populations are NCDs patients living with complications in Ekwendeni, a northern region in Mzimba district. NCDs patients living with complications in local context refers to patients that are unable to go to NCDs clinic in hospital in person for check-ups due to physical inactivity. We cooperated with nurse Maggie, the only palliative care provider in Ekwendeni Mission Hospital. She is in charge of the followup and care for all the NCDs patient in that region. There are a lot of NCDs patients living with complications in the community, Maggie said she was supposed to visit those households to check their conditions and provide hygiene education to the guardians. However due to that hospital lack of funds to buy fuel, she weren't able to visit them. During our recruitment, we drove from one household to another, guided by Maggie. Our target was 15 patients and 15 guardians, a total of 30 participants from 15 households. We eventually recruited 32 participants from 25 households. Questionnaire

Facing

The questionnaire is designed in English and translated into local language-Chitumbuka. It is conducted by 3 data collectors who speak local language. We have a training session for data collectors and did a pre-test in Mapale Hospital for final revision. It took about 45 minutes for each participants to finish the questionnaire in average. The questionnaire adopt mainly quantitative method, supported by qualitative method. The questionnaire consists of: demographic and physiological measurement data, socioeconomic status (SES), NCDs conditions, recent health status (dehydration, waterborne diseases and infections), water intake, water source and water processing, WASH facility (toilet, bathing and clean clothes), improvement of current circumstances, questionnaire of the Barthel index (ADL).

300~600ml	9,	36%
>600ml	6,	36%
Water Source		
Piped water into dwelling	8,	32%
Piped water to yard/plot	6,	24%
Public tap/standpipe	4,	16%
Tubewell/borehole	3,	12%
Protected dug well	2,	8 %
Unprotected spring	2,	8 %
Treat Drinking Water		
Yes	4,	16%
No	21,	84%
Toilet		
Flush/pour flush	4,	16%
Pit latrine with slab	6,	24%
Pit latrine without slab/open pit	15,	60%
Dependency in ADL (Barthel Index)		
Complete independence	5,	20%
Slight dependence	7,	28%
Severe dependence	9,	36%
Total dependence	4,	16%
Participants' thoughts for propose	ed i	tems

Improved cookstove Pedal-power washer

Due to WASH facility:

Households that use public accessible toilet or pit latrine without slab has a higher rate of having more symptoms of waterborne diseases and infections (p-value < 0.05).

Potential Supportive Tools or Methods

Participants talk about their needs:

84%	Category	Detail	Count
	Toilet Upgrades		16
16%	Hygiene	Personnel Protective	2
24%		Equipment, Proper	
60%		body cleaning	
	Nutrition	Balanced and	6
20%		Nutritious diet	
28%	Water	Drinking water on	2
36%	Financial Cumpart	premise	2
16%	Financial Support		2
1070	Mobility Support	Walker, Wheelchair	3
tome	•		

Types of toilet upgrades mentioned:

Subject	Desirable Upgrades	Concern
Patient with	Pit latrine with slab	

* Combined with Nutrition Questionnaire by 翁咏聖 **Obstacles in the Field** 1. We originally use MUAC, waist and hip circumstances as an alternative of weight, measured by tools like ribbons. In order to gain insight of patients' nutrition and health status. However, many participants refused any physical measurements.

2. We originally wants to interview a patient and a guardian separately in every household, in order to value patients' perspectives. Since guardian tends to answer most of the questions when interviewed together. However, many patients are unable to finish the questionnaire alone due to poor health condition. We still count questionnaire finished together by patient and guardian as sample patient. 3. Data collectors may explain questions in their own way to help participants better understand them. Due to language barrier, we weren't sure how data collectors

interpret the questions until we found evidence of bias in the data.



useful and has the highest amount willing to pay. 50% of household spend more than an hour washing clothes everyday. This item can help save guardian time and improve patients' hygiene. Improved cookstove is already own by some households and the 2 toilet upgrades may not be feasible for patients without mobility and unable to sit.

ſ	J	0	D	l	l	11	Y			

Patient with mobility but weakness in the lower limb	Toilet with raised seat and handrail	
Patient without mobility but able to sit	Mobile toilet with backrest	Extra burden for guardian
Bedridden patient, unable to sit	diapers	Money consuming

52% of patients needs toilet upgrade now! Also, many patients mentioned the inconvenience of wrong toilet upgrade. For example, a raised seat in the toilet can be more helpful for patients with mobility, since mobile toilet can burden their guardian more. And if a patient can sit, a mobile toilet can be more helpful since diapers are very money consuming.